|  | $M$ | 3010 | 2550 | 2480 | 2410 | 2440 | 2410 | 2240 | 2240 | 2390 | 1900 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $W$ | 3310 | 3070 | 2990 | 2850 | 2810 | 2710 | 2680 | 2670 | 2780 | 1960 |
|  | Ch | 3730 | 3600 | 3570 | 3320 | 3170 | 3180 | 3310 | 3260 | 3360 | 2160 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| db) | $L_{1}$ | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -3 | -1 | -5 |
|  | $L_{2}$ | -24 | -23 | -17 | -12 | -5 | -7 | -12 | -19 | -10 | -15 |
|  | $L_{3}$ | -28 | -27 | -24 | -22 | -28 | -34 | -34 | -43 | -27 | -20 |

the way individuals speak the or $F_{3}$ and the relative amplitudes have correspondingly large variaduals. Part of the variations are nces between classes of speakers, and children. In general, the chilaighest in frequency, the women's e men's formants are lowest in
nay be observed in the averaged giv n Table II. The first foren are seen to be about half an hose of the men, and the second are also appreciably higher. The plitudes of the formants did not nces between classes of speakers, zeraged all together. The formant ferred to the amplitude of the first n the total phonetic powers of the so as to be related to each other by given by Fletcher. ${ }^{18}$
of correlating the results of the the formant measurements have ms of the first two formants the aship is illustrated in Fig. 9. In this for all vowels of both callings are 1 mambers of the listening group Since the values for the men erally lie at the two ends of the disowel, the confusion between vowels their data; thus the measurements zers have been omitted.
9 are the same as the boundaries indicated previously, some vowels $t$ agreement much more frequently

The plot has also been simplified by the omission of $[3]$. The $[3]$ produces extensive overlap in the [U] region in a graph involving only the first two formants. As explained previously, however, the [3] may be isolated from the other vowels readily by means of the third formant.

When only vowels which received 100 percent recognition are plotted, the scatter and overlap are somewhat reduced over that for all callings. The scatter is greater, however, than might be expected.

If the first and second formant parameters measured from these words well defined their phonetic values; and if the listening tests were an exact means of classifying the words, then the points for each vowel of

Fig. 9. Frequency of second formant versus frequency of first formant for vowels spoken by men and children, which were classified unanimously by all listeners.

